

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/710,539 | CHO ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| HIRDEPAL SINGH | 2611 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) HIRDEPAL SINGH. (3) _____.

(2) Scott Margo. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 March 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Some informalities in the claims 1 and 14 discussed and Applicant agreed to correct the informalities by an Examiner's amendment for a possible allowance of the case.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)